arXiv:1510.08914v1 [math.RA] 29 Oct 2015

LIE IDENTITIES ON SYMMETRIC ELEMENTS OF RESTRICTED ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS

SALVATORE SICILIANO AND HAMID USEFI

Abstract. Let L be a restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic $p > 2$ and denote by $u(L)$ its restricted enveloping algebra. We determine the conditions under which the set of symmetric elements of $u(L)$ with respect to the principal involution is Lie solvable, Lie nilpotent, or bounded Lie Engel.

1. Introduction

Let A be an algebra with involution $*$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$. We denote by $A^+ := \{x \in A | \, x^* = x\}$ the set of symmetric elements of A under $*$ and by $A^- := \{x \in A | \, x^* = -x\}$ the set of skew-symmetric elements. A question of general interest is which properties of A^+ or A^- can be lifted to the whole algebra A. The history of this problem goes back to Herstein [12, 13] where he had conjectured that if the symmetric or skew-symmetric elements of a ring R satisfy a polynomial identity, then so does R. Notably this conjecture was proved by Amitsur in [1] and subsequently generalized by himself in [2].

Now consider the group algebra $\mathbb{F}G$ of a group G under the canonical involution induced by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$, for every $g \in G$. There has been an intensive investigation devoted to demonstrate the extent to which the symmetric or skew-symmetric elements of $\mathbb{F}G$ under the canonical involution determine the structure of the group algebra and there has been special attention on Lie identities. In particular, the chacterization of groups G for which $\mathbb{F}G^-$ or $\mathbb{F}G^+$ is Lie nilpotent was carried out by Giambruno, Sehgal, and Lee in [10, 11, 16]. Furthermore, if either $\mathbb{F}G^-$ or $\mathbb{F}G^+$ is bounded Lie Engel, and G is devoid of 2-elements, Lee in [17] showed that $\mathbb{F}G$ is bounded Lie Engel. He also classified remaining groups for which $\mathbb{F}G^+$ is bounded Lie Engel. The Lie solvable case was considered in [19, 20], however a complete answer to this case seems still under way.

Date: November 2, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S30, 16W10, 17B50, 17B60.

Now, let L be a restricted Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p > 2$ and let $u(L)$ be the restricted enveloping algebra of L. We denote by $\top$ the *principal involution* of $u(L)$, that is, the unique $\mathbb{F}$-antiautomorphism of $u(L)$ such that $x^\top = -x$ for every x in L. We recall that $\top$ is just the antipode of the $\mathbb{F}$-Hopf algebra $u(L)$.

Recently, the first author in [24] established the conditions under which $u(L)^-$ is Lie solvable, Lie nilpotent or bounded Lie Engel.

In this paper we consider the symmetric case. Unlike the skew-symmetric case it is not clear a priori that, for example, when $u(L)^+$ is Lie nilpotent then L is nilpotent. The symmetric elements do not form a Lie subalgebra of $u(L)$ in general (but they form a Jordan subalgebra under the Jordan bracket $x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$). However, despite the group ring case we present a complete answer and yet the proofs are different and more involved than the skew-symmetric case. Before stating the main results we recall the following definitions. An element x of L is p-nilpotent if $x^{[p]^m} = 0$ for some $m \geq 1$; a subset S of L is p-nilpotent if $S^{[p]^m} = \{x^{[p]^m} \mid x \in S\} = 0$ for some $m \geq 1$. The derived subalgebra of L is denoted by L'.

Theorem 1.1. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p > 2$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1) *$u(L)^+$ is bounded Lie Engel;*
2) *$u(L)$ is bounded Lie Engel;*
3) *L is nilpotent, L' is p-nilpotent, and L contains a restricted ideal I such that L/I and I' are finite-dimensional.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p > 2$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1) *$u(L)^+$ is Lie nilpotent;*
2) *$u(L)$ is Lie nilpotent;*
3) *L is nilpotent and L' is finite-dimensional and p-nilpotent.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p > 2$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1) *$u(L)^+$ is Lie solvable;*
2) *$u(L)$ is Lie solvable;*
3) *L' is finite-dimensional and p-nilpotent.*

The equivalence of 2) and 3) in Theorems 1.1-1.3 was established in [23]. Our main contribution is to prove that 2) implies 3) in these theorems. In combination with [24], we can conclude that, in odd characteristic, if either $u(L)^+$ or $u(L)^-$ is Lie solvable (respectively, bounded Lie Engel or Lie nilpotent) then so is the whole algebra $u(L)$. Such conclusions are no longer true in characteristic 2.

Finally, let L be an arbitrary Lie algebra over a field of characteristic different from 2 and denote by $U(L)$ the ordinary enveloping algebra of L. A further consequence of our main results is that $U(L)^+$ (under the principal involution) is Lie solvable or bounded Lie Engel only when L is abelian.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper all restricted Lie algebras are defined over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p > 2$.

Let A be an associative algebra over $\mathbb{F}$ with an involution. The Lie bracket on A is defined by $[x, y] = xy - yx$, for every $x, y \in A$. Longer Lie commutators of A are recursively defined as follows: $[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}] = [[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n], x_{n+1}]$ and $[x,_{n+1} y] = [[x,_n y], y]$. A subset S of A is said to be Lie nilpotent if there exists a positive integer n such that

$$[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = 0$$

for every $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S$, while S is said to be bounded Lie Engel if there is an n such that

$$[x,_n y] = 0$$

for every $x, y \in S$. Also, following [18], we put $[x_1, x_2]^o = [x_1, x_2]$ and

$$[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2^{n+1}}]^o = [[x_1, \ldots, x_{2^n}]^o, [x_{2^n+1}, \ldots, x_{2^{n+1}}]^o].$$

The subspace spanned by all $[a_1, \ldots, a_{2^n}]^o$, where $a_i \in S$, is denoted by $\delta_n(S)$. The subset S is said to be Lie solvable if there exists an n such that $\delta_n(S) = 0$.

Moreover, we denote by $\gamma_n(L)$ $(n \geq 1)$ and $\delta_n(L)$ $(n \geq 0)$ the terms of the lower central series and derived series of L, respectively. Also, for a subset S of L we denote by S_p the restricted subalgebra generated by S. A polynomial of the form $x^{p^k} + \alpha_1 x^{p^{k-1}} + \cdots + \alpha_k x \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is called a p-polynomial. An element x of L is said to be p-algebraic if $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \langle x \rangle_p < \infty$. Finally, $Z(L)$ is the center of L.

Theorem 2.1 ([1])**.** *Let A be an associative algebra with involution. If A^+ (or A^-) satisfies a polynomial identity then A satisfies a polynomial identity.*

Restricted Lie algebras whose enveloping algebras satisfy polynomial identities are characterized by Passman [21] and Petrogradski [22]. A theorem of Kukin (see [15, §4, Lemma 1.10]) states that any restricted subalgebra H of L of finite codimension contains an ideal of finite codimension in L. Combining these results we get the following:

Theorem 2.2. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then $u(L)$ satisfies a polynomial identity if and only if L has a restricted ideal A of finite codimension in L such that A is nilpotent of class two and A' is finite-dimensional and p-nilpotent.*

Theorem 2.3 ([25])**.** *Let L be a Lie algebra with a nilpotent ideal M such that L/M' is nilpotent. Then L is nilpotent.*

Corollary 2.4. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra with a nilpotent ideal M such that $L/(M')_p$ is nilpotent. Then L is nilpotent.*

Proof. Suppose that $\gamma_{c+1}(L) \subseteq (M')_p$. Then $\gamma_{c+2}(L) \subseteq [(M')_p, L] \subseteq M'$. Thus, L/M' is a nilpotent Lie algebra and it follows from Theorem 2.3 that L is nilpotent. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra such that $u(L)^+$ is Lie solvable. If $Z(L)$ is infinite-dimensional, then $u(L)$ is Lie solvable.*

Proof. The proofs of Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 in [24] work in the symmetric situation as well (by using $x_i + x_i^*$ instead of $x_i - x_i^*$). □

A similar conclusion also holds for the Lie nilpotence case. Now suppose that $u(L)^+$ is Lie solvable and L is nilpotent of class c, say. If $\gamma_c(L)_p$ is infinite-dimensional, then because $\gamma_c(L)_p$ is a central ideal of L, we deduce by Lemma 2.5 that $u(L)$ itself is Lie solvable. But then L' is finite-dimensional and p-nilpotent, see [23, Theorem 1.3] or [28, Lemma 4.4]. This contradiction yields the following:

Corollary 2.6. *Let L be a nilpotent restricted Lie algebra of class $c \geq 2$. If $u(L)^+$ is Lie solvable, then $\gamma_c(L)_p$ is finite-dimensional.*

The following can be deduced from classical results. For the proof see, for example, [23] or [28].

Lemma 2.7. *If $u(L)$ is Lie solvable then L' is p-nilpotent.*

In the sequel, we shall freely use the following fact.

Remark 2.8. Let A be an algebra over a field of characteristic not 2 with involution $*$. If J is a $*$-invariant ideal of A then $(A/J)^+$ with respect to the induced involution coincides with the image of A^+ under the canonical map $A \to A/J$. In particular, this applies to the case where $A = u(L)$ and J is the associative ideal generated by a restricted ideal of L.

3. Proofs of the main results

Lemma 3.1. *Let $L = \langle H \rangle_p$ where H is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. If $u(L)^+$ is bounded Lie Engel then L is nilpotent.*

Proof. Clearly, it is enough to show that H is nilpotent. By Engel's Theorem it suffices to prove that $\operatorname{ad} x$ is nilpotent, for every $x \in H$. Suppose to the contrary that $\operatorname{ad} x$ is not nilpotent, for some $x \in H$. Let $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}$. Since $\operatorname{ad}(x \otimes 1)$ is not a nilpotent operator, there exists a nonzero $b \in H \bigotimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $[x \otimes 1, b] = \alpha b$, where α is a non-zero eigenvalue of $\operatorname{ad}(x \otimes 1)$. We may replace x with $\alpha^{-1}x$ to assume that $[x \otimes 1, b] = b$. Let $b = \sum_{k=1}^{t} b_k \otimes \alpha_k$, where $b_k \in H$ and $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$b^2 = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le t} (b_i b_j + b_j b_i) \otimes \alpha_i \alpha_j + \sum_{k=1}^{t} b_k^2 \otimes \alpha_k^2.$$

Note that $b_i b_j + b_j b_i, x^2 \in u(L)^+$. There exists an integer m such that

$$[b_i b_j + b_j b_i, {}_{p^m} x^2] = 0$$

for all i, j. Similarly, $[b_k^2, {}_{p^m} x^2] = 0$, for every k. It follows that

$$[b^2, {}_{p^m} x^2 \otimes 1] = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le t} [(b_i b_j + b_j b_i), {}_{p^m} x^2] \otimes \alpha_i \alpha_j + \sum_{k=1}^{t} [b_k^2, {}_{p^m} x^2] \otimes \alpha_k^2 = 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$[x^2 \otimes 1, b^2] = [(x \otimes 1)^2, b^2] = 4b^2((x+1) \otimes 1).$$

Thus,

$$[b^2, {}_{p^m} \frac{x^2 \otimes 1}{4}] = -b^2((x+1)^{p^m} \otimes 1) = -b^2(x \otimes 1)^{p^m} - b^2 = 0$$

By the PBW Theorem for restricted Lie algebras (see e.g. [27, §2, Theorem 5.1]) this is possible only if x is p-nilpotent which implies that $\operatorname{ad} x$ is nilpotent, a contradiction. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let L be a metabelian restricted Lie algebra containing an abelian ideal N of finite codimension. If $u(L)^+$ is bounded Lie Engel, then L is nilpotent.*

Proof. We argue by induction on $\dim L/N$. Note that L/N is nilpotent, by Lemma 3.1. Let $M = N + (L')_p$. Then M is metabelian and since L/N is nilpotent, we have $\dim M/N < \dim L/N$. Thus, by induction on $\dim L/N$, we can assume that M is nilpotent. In order to prove L is nilpotent, it is enough, by Corollary 2.4, to show that $L/(M')_p$ is nilpotent. So we replace L with $L/(M')_p$ to assume that $[L', N] = 0$.

We also replace N with $N + (L')_p$ to assume that $L' \subseteq N$. Now let $x \in L \setminus N$. Since L/N is finite-dimensional, there exists a p-polynomial f such that $f(x) \in N$. It is easy to see that, for every $a \in N$, the Lie algebra H generated by x and a is of dimension at most $\deg(f) + 1$. So, by Lemma 3.1, H_p is nilpotent. Indeed, the nilpotency class of H_p depends only on $\dim H$. We deduce that $[N,_m x] = 0$, where m depends only on x. Hence the restricted subalgebra I generated by N and x is nilpotent. Note that I is in fact a restricted ideal of L. By Corollary 2.4, we can replace L with $L/(I')_p$. But then $\dim L/I < \dim L/N$. So, by the induction hypothesis, L is nilpotent, as required. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra such that $u(L)^+$ is bounded Lie Engel. Then L is nilpotent.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $u(L)$ is PI. Thus, by Theorem 2.2, there exists a nilpotent restricted ideal N of L of finite codimension. Hence, by Corollary 2.4, it is enough to prove that $L/(N')_p$ is nilpotent. Thus, we can assume that L has an abelian ideal N of finite codimension. Since L/N is finite-dimensional, by Lemma 3.1 we see that L/N is nilpotent. Thus L is solvable. Let d be the derived length of L and consider $M = \delta_{d-2}(L)_p$. By Lemma 3.2, we know that M is nilpotent. Moreover, by induction on the derived length we have that $L/(M')_p = L/\delta_{d-1}(L)_p$ is nilpotent. Therefore, by Corollary 2.4, we conclude that L is nilpotent. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra. The symmetric elements of $u(L)$ commute if and only if L is abelian.*

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious. Suppose $u(L)^+$ is commutative and that, if possible, L is not abelian. Since, by Proposition 3.3, L is nilpotent, we can find non-commuting elements $x, y \in L$ such that $z = [x, y]$ is central. But then x^2 and $2xy - z$ are symmetric elements of $u(L)$ and yet $[x^2, 2xy - z] = 4x^2z$ is not zero, a contradiction. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra such that $u(L)^+$ is bounded Lie Engel. Then L' is p-nilpotent.*

Proof. By hypothesis, there exists an m such that $[a,_m b] = 0$ for every $a, b \in u(L)^+$. Suppose, if possible, that L' is not p-nilpotent. By Proposition 3.3 we know L is nilpotent, hence there exists a minimal $n > 2$ such that $\gamma_n(L)_p$ is p-nilpotent. Put $\mathfrak{L} := L/\gamma_n(L)_p$. Let t be an integer such that $p^t \geq m$. Then from the assumption it follows that there exist $x, y \in \mathfrak{L}$ such that $z = [x, y] \in Z(\mathfrak{L})$ and $z^{[p]^t} \neq 0$. Clearly,

the element x^2 and $2xy - z$ of $u(\mathfrak{L})$ are symmetric. Moreover, it can be seen by an easy induction that for every $r > 0$ one has

$$[x^2,_r 2xy - z] = 4^r x^2 z^r. \tag{1}$$

Since $p^t \geq m$ and $p \neq 2$, from relation (1) we deduce that $x^2 z^{p^t} = 0$. On the other hand, since x and $z^{[p]^t}$ are $\mathbb{F}$-linearly independent (as $z^{[p]^t}$ is central whereas x is not), the last conclusion contradicts the PBW Theorem, completing the proof. □

It is now a simple matter to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Of course, in view of Theorem 2 of [23], it is enough to show that 1) implies 3). By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, we already know that L is nilpotent and L' is p-nilpotent. Moreover, by Theorem 2.1, $u(L)$ satisfies a polynomial identity and then, by Theorem 2.2, L contains a restricted ideal I such that L/I and I' are finite-dimensional. This finishes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is enough, by Theorem 1 of [23], to show that 1) implies 3). So, suppose $u(L)^+$ is Lie nilpotent. Then, by Theorem 1.1, we just need to show that L' is finite-dimensional. By Theorem 1.1, we know that L is nilpotent of class c, say. Now, by Corollary 2.6, $\gamma_c(L)_p$ is finite-dimensional. We can proceed by induction on c to conclude that $L' + \gamma_c(L)_p/\gamma_c(L)_p$ is finite-dimensional, hence L' is finite-dimensional, too. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra such that $u(L)^+$ is Lie solvable. Then L is solvable.*

Proof. We can suppose, without loss of generality, that the ground field $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed. Moreover, by Theorem 2.1, $u(L)$ is PI and then, by Theorem 2.2, there exists a nilpotent restricted ideal N of L of finite codimension. Thus we can replace L by L/N and assume that L is finite-dimensional. Suppose that L is a counterexample of minimal dimension. Now, if M is a non-trivial restricted ideal of L, then $u(J)^+$ and $u(L/M)^+$ are Lie solvable. Hence the minimality of L forces that both M and L/M are solvable, so that L is solvable, a contradiction. Consequently, L has no non-trivial restricted ideal. Now, we claim that L' is a simple Lie algebra. Let I be a nonzero ideal of L'. As $(L')_p$ is a nonzero restricted ideal of L we have $(L')_p = L$ and then I is an ideal of L. It follows that $I_p = L$ and then $L' = [I_p, I_p] = [I, I] \subseteq I$, so that $I = L'$, as claimed. In particular, L' is a simple restricted L-module. Denote by $\mathcal{J}$ the Jacobson radical of $u(L)$. Note that the simple modules of $u(L)$ and $u(L)/\mathcal{J}$ are the same. Since $\mathcal{J}$ is $\top$-invariant we have that $(u(L)/\mathcal{J})^+$ under the induced involution is

Lie solvable. As a consequence, if $u(L)/\mathcal{J} \cong \oplus_{i=1}^{r} M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F})$ is the the Wedderburn decomposition of $u(L)/\mathcal{J}$, in view of Lemma 3.2 of [19] we have that $n_i \leq 2$ for every i. Therefore every irreducible representation of $u(L)$ has degree 1 or 2. In particular L' has dimension less than 3, so that L is solvable, a contradiction. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let L be a finite-dimensional restricted Lie algebra such that $u(L)^+$ is Lie solvable. Then L' is p-nilpotent.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.6, we know that L is solvable. By induction on the derived length of L, we can assume L is metabelian. Furthermore, we can suppose that the ground field $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the Jacobson radical of $u(L)$ and consider the restricted Lie algebra $H = L + \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}$. Since $\mathcal{J}$ is a nilpotent ideal of $u(L)$, it suffices to show that H' is p-nilpotent. Thus, we may assume that H is not abelian. Note that $u(L)/\mathcal{J} \cong \mathbb{F} \oplus \cdots \mathbb{F} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{F}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_2(\mathbb{F})$, by [19]. Without loss of generality we can assume that H is a restricted Lie subalgebra of $M_2(\mathbb{F})$. Since $M_2(\mathbb{F})$ is not Lie metabelian, we have $\dim H \leq 3$. If $\dim H = 2$, then there exists $x, y \in H$ such that with $[x, y] = x$. In this case we must have $x^p = 0$ and $y^p = y$, so we are done. Assume then $\dim H = 3$. It is clear that the identity matrix I_2 must be in H, otherwise $M_2(\mathbb{F})$ is spanned by H and I_2 so that $M_2(\mathbb{F})$ is Lie metabelian, which is not possible. Note that $H' \subseteq [M_2(\mathbb{F}), M_2(\mathbb{F})] = \mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$. Since H' is abelian and $\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ has no 2-dimensional abelian subalgebra, it follows that $\dim H' = 1$. Let $x \in H' \subseteq \mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$. Since $\mathrm{tr}(x) = 0$, it follows that x and I_2 are linearly independent. Let $y \in H$ so that x, y and I_2 span H. Since H is not abelian, we can assume $[x, y] = x$. But then x^p is a central element in H and so $x^p = \alpha I_2$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. Since $x \in \mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ and $p \geq 3$, $\mathrm{tr}(x^p) = 0$. Thus, $\alpha = 0$ and so $x^p = 0$. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let L be a nilpotent restricted Lie algebra such that $u(L)^+$ is Lie solvable. Then L' is finite-dimensional and p-nilpotent.*

Proof. Let c be the nilpotence class of L. First we prove that $\gamma_c(L)$ is p-nil. So let $x \in L$ and $y \in \gamma_{c-1}(L)$ and let H be the restricted subalgebra of L generated by x and y. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the Jacobson radical of $u(H)$. By the Razmyslov-Kemer-Braun Theorem (see e.g. [7]) $\mathcal{J}$ is a nilpotent ideal of $u(H)$. Note that x^2, y^2 and $u = 2xy - z$ are symmetric. In $u(H)$ we have $[x, 2xy - z] = 2xz$. So, $[x^2, u] = 4x^2 z$. We can similarly deduce that, $[y^2, u] = -4y^2 z$. Thus,

$$[[x^2, u], [y^2, u]] = 16[x^2 z, y^2 z] = -64xyz^3 + 32z^4.$$

By Proposition 2.6 of [19], $(u(H)/\mathcal{J})^+$ is Lie metabelian. It follows that $2xyz^3 - z^4 \in \mathcal{J}$. Note that

$$[[x, 2xyz^3 - z^4], y] = 2z^5 \in \mathcal{J}.$$

Thus, there exists an integer k such that

$$(z^{p^k})^5 = 0,$$

which implies that z must be p-nilpotent. Note that $\gamma_c(L)_p$ is finite-dimensional, by Corollary 2.6. Now we consider $L/\gamma_c(L)_p$. By induction on c, we have that $L' + \gamma_c(L)_p/\gamma_c(L)_p$ is finite-dimensional and p-nilpotent. Combining with the fact that $\gamma_c(L)_p$ is also finite-dimensional and p-nilpotent yields the required result. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let L be a metabelian restricted Lie algebra such that $u(L)^+$ is Lie solvable. Then the space of fixed points of the action of* $\operatorname{ad} x$ *on L' is finite-dimensional, for every $x \in L$.*

Proof. Let V be the subspace of L' consisting of the fixed points of the action of $\operatorname{ad} x$ on L'. Note that for every $r \geq 1$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in V$, we have

$$[x, a_1 \cdots a_r] = r a_1 \cdots a_r.$$

Thus, for every odd number k and $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in V$, we have

$$2xa_1 \cdots a_k - ka_1 \cdots a_k = xa_1 \cdots a_k + (xa_1 \cdots a_k)^\top \in u(L)^+. \tag{2}$$

Let n be a positive integer and let $m_1 = 2^{n+1} + 2^n - 2$, $m_2 = 2^{n+1} + 2^n$ and $m_3 = 2^{n+1} + 2^n + 2$. By the PBW Theorem, it is enough to show that for every $a_1, \ldots, a_{m_i} \in V$ there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$xa_1a_2 \cdots a_{m_i} + \alpha a_1a_2 \cdots a_{m_i} \in \delta_n(u(L)^+),$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Using Equation (2), the claim can be easily checked for $n = 0$. Now we assume that $n \geq 1$ and we prove the induction step for m_1. So set $r = 2^n + 2^{n-1} - 2$, $s = 2^n + 2^{n-1}$ and let $a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_s \in V$. By the induction hypothesis we have

$$u = xa_1 \cdots a_r + \alpha a_1 \cdots a_r \in \delta_n(u(L)^+),$$
$$v = xb_1 \cdots b_s + \beta b_1 \cdots b_s \in \delta_n(u(L)^+),$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$. Thus,

$$[u, v] = 2xa_1 \cdots a_r b_1 \cdots b_s + (\beta s - \alpha r) a_1 \cdots a_r b_1 \cdots b_s \in \delta_{n+1}(u(L)^+).$$

Similarly, for m_2 we take $r = 2^n + 2^{n-1} - 2$ and $s = 2^n + 2^{n-1} + 2$ and for m_3 we take $r = 2^n + 2^{n-1}$ and $s = 2^n + 2^{n-1} + 2$ and argue the same way to establish the inductive step. □

Lemma 3.10. *Let $L = \langle y \rangle_p + N$ where N is an abelian restricted ideal of finite codimension in L. If $u(L)^+$ is Lie solvable, then L' is finite-dimensional.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can suppose the ground field $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed. We argue by induction on $\dim L/N$. By [27, §2, Theorem 3.6], there exists a p-polynomial g such that $x = g(y)$ lies in $L \setminus N$ and satisfies either $x^{[p]} = 0 \pmod N$ or $x^{[p]} = x \pmod N$. Thus, $(\operatorname{ad} x)^p = 0$ or $(\operatorname{ad} x)^p = \operatorname{ad} x$ in L. Let $H = \langle x, N \rangle_p$. We claim that $(H')_p$ is finite-dimensional. If $(\operatorname{ad} x)^p = 0$, then H is nilpotent and the claim follows from Lemma 3.8. On the other hand, if $(\operatorname{ad} x)^p = \operatorname{ad} x$, since $H' = [x, H]$ then $(\operatorname{ad} x)^{p-1}$ acts the identity on H'. Therefore all the eigenvalues of $\operatorname{ad} x$ on H' are $(p-1)^{\text{th}}$ root of unity and H' decomposes as the sum of the relative eigenspaces. Now, let λ be an eigenvalue of $\operatorname{ad} x$ and put $\bar{x} = \lambda^{-1}x$. Then, by Lemma 3.9, the vector space of fixed points of $\operatorname{ad} \bar{x}$ on H' is finite-dimensional, which is equivalent to saying that the eigenspace relative to the eigenvalue λ of $\operatorname{ad} x$ is finite-dimensional. Hence, H' is finite-dimensional. If there exists an element $u \in H'$ such that $\langle u \rangle_p$ is not finite-dimensional, then the center of H would be infinite-dimentional. This implies, by Lemma 2.6, that $u(H)$ is Lie solvable and in turn, by Lemma 2.7, H' is p-nilpotent, a contradiction. We conclude that $(H')_p$ is finite-dimensional, as claimed. Now we replace L with $L/(H')_p$ to assume that H is abelian. But then L has an abelian restricted ideal H of smaller codimension than N. Therefore it follows by induction that L' is finite-dimensional. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Theorem 3 of [23], it is enough to show that 1) implies 3). Note that, by Proposition 3.6, L is solvable. Hence, by induction on the derived length, we can assume that L is metabelian. By Theorem 2.1, $u(L)$ is PI. Thus, by Theorem 2.2, there exists a nilpotent restricted ideal N of L of finite codimension. By Lemma 3.8, N' is finite-dimensional and p-nilpotent. So we can replace L with $L/(N')_p$ to assume that N is abelian. Now we argue by induction on $\dim L/N$. Let I be the restricted ideal generated by $L' + N$. Since L is metabelian, it follows that I is nilpotent of class two. Thus again, by Lemma 3.8, we can replace L with $L/(I')_p$ to assume that $[N, L'] = 0$. Now let $x \in L \setminus N$ and denote by H the restricted subalgebra generated by x and $L' + N$. By Lemma 3.10, H' is finite-dimensional. We claim that H' is p-nilpotent. If $L' + N$ has an element which is not p-algebraic then $Z(H)$ is infinite-dimensional and it follows from Lemma 2.6 that $u(H)$ is Lie solvable which in turn implies, by Lemma 2.7, that H' is p-nilpotent. Hence we can assume that every element of $L' + N$

is p-algebraic. Since $\dim L/N$ is finite, there exists a p-polynomial such that $z = f(x) \in N$. Thus z is p-algebraic and so is x. Now let $y \in L' + N$. Then note that $M = \langle x, y \rangle_p$ is finite-dimensional. Hence, by Lemma 3.7, M' is p-nilpotent. We just showed that $[x, y]$ is p-nilpotent for every $y \in L' + N$. Thus, H' is p-nilpotent. Now we can replace L with $L/(H')_p$. So, H is an abelian restricted ideal of L and $\dim L/H < \dim L/N$. Thus, by induction hypothesis, L' is finite-dimensional and p-nilpotent, as required □

4. Concluding remarks

Note that if A is any algebra with involution then $[A^+, A^+] \subseteq A^-$. In particular, if A^- is Lie solvable then so is A^+. Therefore, Theorem 1.3 generalizes Theorem 1 of [24]. Moreover, since in characteristic 2 the sets of symmetric and skew-symmetric elements obviously coincide, Examples 1 and 2 of [24] show that the assumption $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ in Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 cannot be removed.

Finally, let L be an arbitrary Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p \neq 2$ and denote by $U(L)$ the ordinary universal enveloping algebra of L. Suppose that $U(L)^+$ (with respect to the principal involution) is Lie solvable or bounded Lie Engel. If $p = 0$ then, by Theorem 2.1, $U(L)$ satisfies a polynomial identity. Thus, L is abelian by a theorem of Latyšev (see e.g [3, §6.7, Theorem 25]). Now suppose that $p > 2$ and consider the universal p-envelope of L

$$\hat{L} := \sum_{k \geq 0} L^{p^k} \subseteq U(L),$$

where L^{p^k} is the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space spanned by the set $\{l^{p^k} \mid l \in L\}$. Then $\hat{L}$ is a restricted Lie algebra with p-map given by $h^{[p]} = h^p$ for all $h \in \hat{L}$. Note that by Corollary 1.1.4 of [26], $U(L) = u(\hat{L})$. So, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.1 entail that $(\hat{L})'$ is p-nilpotent. Since $u(\hat{L}) = U(L)$ has no nontrivial zero divisors, we conclude that $L' = 0$.

We have proved

Corollary 4.1. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p \neq 2$. Then $U(L)^+$ is Lie solvable or bounded Lie Engel if and only if L is abelian.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The second author would like to thank the Department of Matematics of the University of Salento for its hospitality during his visit while this work was completed.

References

[1] S.A. Amitsur: Rings with involution. Israel J. Math. 6 1968 99-106.

[2] S.A. Amitsur: *Identities in rings with involutions*, Israel J. Math. **7** (1969), 63–68.

[3] Yu.A. Bahturin: *Identical relations in Lie algebras*. VNU Science Press, Utrecht, 1987.

[4] Yu.A. Bahturin, A.A. Mikhalev, V.M. Petrogradsky, M.V. Zaicev: *Infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebras*. de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, **7**. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1992.

[5] V. Bovdi: *On symmetric units in group algebras*, Comm. Algebra **29** (2001), 5411–5422.

[6] V. Bovdi, L.G. Kovács, S.K. Sehgal: *Symmetric units in modular group algebras*, Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), 803–808.

[7] A. Braun: *The nilpotency of the radical in a finitely generated PI ring*, J. Algebra **89** (1984), 375–396.

[8] A. Giambruno, C. Polcino Milies, S.K. Sehgal: *Lie properties of symmetric elements in group rings*, J. Algebra **321** (2009), 890–902.

[9] A. Giambruno, C. Polcino Milies, S.K. Sehgal: *Group identities on symmetric units*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), 2801–2815.

[10] A. Giambruno, S.K. Sehgal: *Lie nilpotence of group rings*, Comm. Alg. **21** (1993), 4253–4261.

[11] A. Giambruno, S.K. Sehgal: *Group algebras whose Lie algebra of skew-symmetric elements is nilpotent*, In: Group, Rings and algebras. Contemp. Math **420**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI 2006, pp. 113–120.

[12] I.N. Herstein, *Special simple rings with involution*, J. Algebra **6** (1967), 369375.

[13] I.N. Herstein, *Rings with Involution*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1976.

[14] E. Jespers, M. Ruiz Marín: *On symmetric elements and symmetric units in group rings*, Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), 727–736.

[15] G.P. Kukin: *Problem of equality and free products of Lie algebras and associative algebras*, Sibirsk. Mar. Zh. **24** (1983), 85–96.

[16] G. Lee: *Group rings whose symmetric elements are Lie nilpotent*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 3153–3159.

[17] G. Lee: *The Lie n-Engel property in group rings*, Comm. Algebra **28** (2000), 867–881.

[18] G. Lee: *Group identities on units and symmetric units of group rings*. Algebra and Applications, **12**. Springer-Verlag London, 2010.

[19] G. Lee, S.K. Sehgal, E. Spinelli, *Group algebras whose symmetric and skew elements are Lie solvable*, Forum Math. **21** (2009) 661–671.

[20] G. Lee, S.K. Sehgal, E. Spinelli: *Lie properties of symmetric elements in group rings. II*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), 1173–1178.

[21] D.S. Passman: *Enveloping algebras satisfying a polynomial identity*, J. Algebra **134** (1990), 469–490.

[22] V.M. Petrogradsky: *Existence of identities in the restricted enveloping algebra*, Math. Zametki **49** (1991), 84–93.

[23] D.M. Riley, A. Shalev: *The Lie structure of enveloping algebras*, J. Algebra **162** (1993), 46–61.

[24] S. Siciliano: *On the Lie algebra of skew-symmetric elements of an enveloping algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), 72–76.
[25] I. Stewart: *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras in the spirit of infinite group theory*, Compositio Mathematica **22** (1970), 313–331.
[26] H. Strade: *Simple Lie algebras over fields of positive characteristic I. Structure theory*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin/New York, 2004.
[27] H. Strade, R. Farnsteiner: *Modular Lie algebras and their representations*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
[28] H. Usefi: Enveloping algebras of restricted Lie superalgebras satisfying non-matrix polynomial identities, preprint vailable on arXiv:0910.2977.

Dipartimento di Matematica "E. De Giorgi", Università del Salento, Via Provinciale Lecce–Arnesano, 73100–Lecce, Italy
E-mail address: salvatore.siciliano@unisalento.it

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Bahen Centre, 40 St. George St., Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5S 2E4
E-mail address: usefi@math.toronto.edu